

No.	Party	Line	(to)	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation/Comment
1	Die Grünen Austria	11	13	replace	But these measures <b>alone cannot</b> solve the economic and social crises nor the current imbalances in the European Union.	But these measures <b>will neither</b> solve the economic and social crises nor the current imbalances in the European Union.	
2	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	13		add	Europe needs a substantial increase in investment	Europe needs a substantial increase in <b>green and social</b> investment	
3	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	20	21	add	... renewables-based economy	... renewables-based <b>and social</b> economy	
4	FYEG	24		replace	semicolon ";"	comma ","	otherwise it might seem we see the ratification of the Lisbon treaty as enlargement

5	FYEG	25		replace	", and the economic, social and ecological crisis impacted heavily the EU citizens requiring..."	<p><b>"In the meantime the economic crisis hit EU citizens hard, particularly youth, while pressure to tackle ecological problems has further increased,</b> requiring ..."</p>	<p>The emphasis of multiple dimensions of the crises seems more suitable when talking about a general transformation of our economic system - then we need to tackle all these crises.</p> <p>But when talking about the MFF, the particular impact of each crisis, but also the measures to tackle them differ might differ. FYEG thinks in this case the economic (and social) crisis makes people suffer from unemployment etc. while the ecological crisis still doesn't really affect the European citizens. Also on the solution-side its more about investments in the future (energy efficiency etc.) and not (as with unemployment) measures that need to help (youth) now.</p> <p>Further we added the youth point, bc the economic crisis hit youth particularly hard (while the ecological crisis might hit them particularly hard at a later point)</p>
6	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	31	32	delete	"juste-retour" based	... during a 26-hour long horse-trading negotiation...	Not clear what it means for "normal" reader

7	FYEG	36		Add sentence s	Agriculture sector or in the Cohesion Policy.	the Agriculture sector or in the Cohesion Policy. <b>The Common Agricultural Policy still subsidises large-scale industrial farming over small-scale and sustainable farming. Further greening of the Cohesion Policy is urgently needed.</b>	If there is no explanation for why we criticise the CAP, it seems a bit like we consider the CAP and the Cohesion Policy als conservative and nationalist. While it should be specified that we are in support of these policies but would like to see a shift in where money is spent within these funds.
8	EGP Committee	55		add as new point 3 (and renumber thereafter)		Regrets that there is no clear distinction in public perception between the necessary costs required to implement and manage communal activities mandated to the European Union, and wasteful operating and administrative costs throughout the European Union. Cites as an example the money spent each year on holding 12 European Parliamentary sessions in Strasbourg which could be saved by an agreement of the member states to consolidate the single EP seat in Brussels. (remove last sentence at lines 68/69). Points out that these wasteful operating and administrative costs also occur in the member states which manage 80% of EU funds and have primary responsibility for proper management of these funds and fighting fraud.	

9	Die Grünen Austria	55		add as new point 3 - additions in bold		<p>Regrets that there is no clear distinction in public perception between the necessary costs required to implement and manage communal activities mandated to the European Union, and wasteful operating and administrative costs throughout the European Union. Cites as an example the <b>180 mio. € spent each year (and also 19mio. tons CO2 emissions)</b> on holding 12 European Parliamentary sessions in Strasbourg which could be saved by an agreement of the member states to consolidate <b>a</b> single EP seat in Brussels. <b>77% of MEPs already consider a single seat necessary.</b> (remove last sentence at lines 68/69). Points out that these wasteful operating and administrative costs also occur in the member states which manage 80% of EU funds and have primary responsibility for proper management of these funds and fighting fraud.</p>	
10	Die Grünen Austria	63		add		<p>Strictly refuses binding „Treaties on Competitiveness“ outside EU-community acquis committing all EU-member states to structural reforms (eg privatisation, wage and pension cuts, extension of working hours, interventions into wage setting, cuts in education and health)</p>	
11	EGP Committee	65		replace	<p>Calls for <b>a substantial increase</b> of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, ...</p>	<p>Calls for <b>progressive increases</b> of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, ...</p>	<p>this is a multi-annual process, not a one-off increase</p>

12	GroenLinks	65	66	replace	"a substantial increase ... policy sectors"	"an increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, focusing on future-oriented policy sectors"	Clarifies that the budget increase is not an end in itself, but aims to strengthen future oriented policies.
13	Miljöpartiet de Gröna	65	67	replace	Calls for a substantial increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, and insists on focusing the increases in the future oriented policy sectors	<b>Broadly supports the idea that the EU-budget should decrease. But the savings should be made on areas where the EU spending is wasteful or has little added value, such as agriculture. With smarter spending it would also be possible to put more resources into the futureoriented policy sectors</b>	The EU is wasting a lot of its budget, and spends too much on things that has little added value. The EU has got the priorities wrong, and since it is generally less efficient at spending than the member states the EU-budget should decrease.
14	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	65		replace	Calls for a substantial increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, and insists on focusing the increases in the future oriented policy sectors	Calls for a substantial increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels, <b>preserving at least the current ratio between the MFF and the European GNI; Therefore....</b>	
15	Vihreät De Gröna	65		delete	Calls for a <b>substantial</b> increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels..	Calls for an increase of the EU budget compared to 2013 levels..	See next amendment.
16	Vihreät De Gröna	67	68	add	.. in the 3 solidarity areas (inter-generational, intra-European and international solidarities.	.. in the 3 solidarity areas (inter-generational, intra-European and international solidarities. <b>An option to increasing the budget is to shift subsidies that drive the global warming further towards green economy investments instead.</b>	There is a lot of harmful subsidies from agriculture to energy that should be geared towards environmentally friendly (or at least neutral) activities. At the same time it would make the need for more new resources for the budget smaller.

17	Vihreät - De Gröna	68	69	delete whole sentence	Also considers that there are operating economies which can and should be made in the EU budget and which would command public support (Strasbourg).		The meaning is not clear, either delete or clarify.
18	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	68	69	delete			not clear
19	FYEG	68		add after "solidarities)."		Further insists on support for youth and measures to fight youth unemployment.	
20	FYEG	68		delete	Also considers that there are operating economies which can and should be made in the EU budget and which would command public support (Strasbourg).		Might need reformulation to be more comprehensible. (Bc we didn't fully understand, no reformulation attempt was made)
21	EGP Committee	68	69	delete	Also considers that there are operating economies which can and should be made in the EU budget and which would command public support (Strasbourg).		Delete this sentence as it is rephrased in the newly added point 3
22	GroenLinks	69		add	"Strasbourg"	"Strasbourg <b>and staff salaries</b> "	One possible saving measure is phasing out the outdated 'expatriation allowance' for EU staff, as the Green Group in the European Parliament has proposed. This would certainly command public support.
23	Die Grünen Austria	75		delete	and the introduction of the Financial Transaction Tax financing - <b>at least in parts</b> - directly the ...	and the introduction of the Financial Transaction Tax financing directly the ...	

24	FYEG	76		delete	- at least in parts -		The tax should be European and so should be the revenues from it. (also avoiding red tape).
25	EGP Committee	76	79	insert	..; Considers a future introduction of additional own resources based on revenues stemming from carbon and/or energy taxes, a flight ticket tax and/or a common consolidated corporate tax including the tax rates to finance directly the EU budget and to simultaneously and partly reduce the national contributions.	Considers a future introduction of additional own resources based on revenues stemming <b>for example</b> from carbon and/or energy taxes, a flight ticket tax and/or a common consolidated corporate tax including the tax rates to finance directly the EU budget and to simultaneously and partly reduce the national contributions.	
26	FYEG	76		replace	<b>Considers a future</b> introduction of additional own resources	<b>Strives for an</b> introduction of additional own resources	We are in favour of additional own resources, so the outcome of this consideration is already clear for us.
27	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	88		add	...revision clause during the next MFF	...revision clause <b>after two years</b> during the next MFF	
28	FYEG	92		insert "	after "Union,"	and delaying investments urgently needed to green Europe's economy,	Citizens should know why we are against the MFF in its current shape. Its important that the next EP majority is not bound to this MFF, but or opposition is more tangible for Citizens when connected to the content, such as austerity (already mentioned) or sustainability.
29	Die Grünen Austria	92		add new point 10 a		- calls for a substantial increase of the European Social Fund in order to combat unemployment and poverty; stresses that the initiatives to fight youth unemployment must be strengthened by a substantial increase of budgetary means (€ 15 to 20 billions).	

30	Die Grünen Austria	92		add new point 10b		- insists on strictly refusing the principle of macroeconomic conditionality, whereby the allocation of European funds is linked to compliance with European rules on economic governance.	
31	Die Grünen Austria	92		add new point 10c		- stresses that means promoting undesirable developments especially in the common agricultural policy must be spent for future-oriented policy sectors (e.g. education, innovation, research and development)	