

Compromise amendments to Draft Resolution on Nuclear Power

Nº	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
1	Groen	4.1	replace	Nuclear energy plants are highly susceptible to human error, interruption of energy supply, natural disasters and terrorist attacks	Nuclear reactors are not infallible. As recent examples in France and Belgium show, their supply of baseload power can be subject to repeated outages due to technical and human errors. In addition, while the risk of succumbing to natural disaster and terrorist attacks is low, its impacts can be enormous both in human and financial terms.	VOTE
2	Vihreät - De Gröna	4.2	delete "dirty"	of nuclear power is uncontrollable, dirty , poisonous and deadly.	of nuclear power is uncontrollable, poisonous and deadly.	accepted
3	Strana zelených (Czech Greens)	4.2	replace	The catastrophes of Three Mile Island, Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate how the technology of nuclear power is uncontrollable, dirty, poisonous and deadly.	The catastrophes of Three Mile Island, Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate how the technology of nuclear power is uncontrollable, dirty and consequences of accidents are often deadly.	The catastrophes of Three Mile Island, Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate how the technology of nuclear power is uncontrollable, can at worst be poisonous and even deadly.
4	EGP Committee	4.2	replace	The catastrophes of Three Mile Island, Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate how the technology of nuclear power is uncontrollable, dirty , poisonous and deadly.	The catastrophes of Three Mile Island, Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate how the technology of nuclear power can at worst be uncontrollable, poisonous and even deadly.	

№	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
5	EGP Committee	4.3	replace	The safe and secure disposal of nuclear waste has never been solved and endangers present and future generations. Spent fuel of nuclear reactors is deadly and will be for thousands of generations.	The safe and secure disposal of nuclear waste has not been fully solved yet and can endanger present and future generations. On direct contact spent fuel of nuclear reactors is deadly and will be for generations to come .	withdrawn
6	GroenLinks	4.4	add		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mining of uranium, the fuel for nuclear power plants, results in damage for landscapes, long term problems connected with stabilization and restoration of the mining site and disposal of the uranium mill waste, and health risks for employees and (mostly indigenous) inhabitants of such areas. 	accepted
7	GroenLinks	4.4	add		Nuclear power plants increase the risk of proliferation of nuclear weapons.	accepted

№	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
8	Groen	5.2	add	Nuclear energy is a highly profitable business, yet environmental and health costs largely remain a public burden.	Nuclear energy from old amortized reactors is a highly profitable business, yet environmental and health costs largely remain a public burden. Moreover, the business case for new nuclear reactors turn out be financial disasters, as the projects in Flamanville, Olkiluoto and Hinkley Point C testify. Without state support and other support measures, costs for new nuclear are too high compared to renewables.	accepted
9	Strana zelených (Czech Greens)	5.2	replace	Nuclear energy is a highly profitable business, yet environmental and health costs largely remain a public burden.	Nuclear energy is a highly profitable business, only because environmental and health costs largely remain a public burden.	accepted

№	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
10	Vihreät - De Gröna	5.1-3	replace	<p>The calculated cost of nuclear energy excludes the cost of nuclear hazards, now and in the future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nuclear energy is a highly profitable business, yet environmental and health costs largely remain a public burden. • Nuclear energy is dependent on expensive, limited and non-renewable fuel. 	<p>Nuclear energy production does not have any competitive advantage compared with the continuously diminishing cost of renewable energy production, while the environmental and health cost of the nuclear energy have remained essentially the same. The nuclear industry has mostly had to rely on substantial corporative or governmental subsidies, most of the reactors being constructed in countries with authoritarian regimes.</p> <p>Nuclear energy investments also mean massive amounts of scarce energy investment capital being bound to a highly centralised technology of the past, instead of investing the same capital into more flexible and local renewable energy production.</p>	<p>Compromise to replace current text "• Nuclear energy is dependent on expensive, limited and non-renewable fuel. " With new text: "Nuclear energy investments also mean massive amounts of scarce energy investment capital being bound to a highly centralised technology of the past, instead of more flexible renewables."</p>
11	Vihreät - De Gröna	6	add	We call to:	We call European governments and the European Union to:	accepted
12	Vihreät - De Gröna	6.4	replace	Build no new nuclear power plants;	Stop giving permissions to build new nuclear power plants;	Withdrawn

№	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
13	Vihreät - De Gröna	6.5	add	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland and Sosnovy Bor in Russia. The phasing out of nuclear energy production should always be executed so that the net CO2 emissions are decreasing, i.e. by building more than the equivalent amount of CO2-neutral renewable energy capacity.	Withdrawn
14	EELV	6.5	add	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland.	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland. Stop the building of generation IV reactors in Flamanville (EPR), Finland, China or Hinkley point.	Accepted

№	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
15	Strana zelených (Czech Greens)	6.5	add	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland.	Phase out currently running nuclear power plants, beginning with old nuclear power plants, those in earthquake-prone areas and all nuclear power plants not designed to withstand terrorist attacks or airplane crashes; foremost Tihange and Doel in Belgium, Fessenheim and Cattenom in France, as well as Beznau in Switzerland or Dukovany in Czech Republic.	Accepted
16	EGP Committee	6.5	replace	Cut off financial resources which support research on nuclear fusion, transmutation and generation IV nuclear reactors;	“Make sure that financial resources which support research on nuclear fusion, transmutation and generation IV nuclear reactors are not diverted from funds to support research on clean and sustainable energy	withdrawn
17	EGP Committee	6.8	replace	Implement tools of foreign policy to phase out nuclear energy on the planet.	Implement tools of foreign policy to gradually phase out nuclear energy on the planet while remaining within carbon budgets compatible with the Paris agreement.	Accepted as amended: Implement tools of foreign policy to phase out nuclear energy on the planet while remaining within carbon budgets compatible with the Paris agreement.
18	Die Grünen	6.9	add		Secure spent fuel rods and nuclear waste	accepted
19	Die Grünen	6.9	add		Not to fund construction of nuclear power plants nor research in nuclear energy by EFSI or EIB	accepted

Nº	Party	Point. Bullet	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	CAS decision
20	EELV	6.9	add		Launch a public campaign all over Europe to make the real facts about nuclear energy clear : dangers, real costs, irreversibility of waste ... to counter the lies of such as AREVA in the media but also the shameless mendacity perpetrated by some governments as to the possibility to control the nuclear accidents.	Compromise to add : "To promote a campaign to expose the lies that are used to manipulate populations in to accepting nuclear energy as a necessity."
21	GroenLinks	6.9	add		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stop import and use of nuclear energy from neighboring or other countries 	accepted
22	GroenLinks	6.9	add		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stimulate divestment from nuclear energy by public and non-public parties and organizations. 	accepted

