

### Amendments to draft resolution "We Must Change Everything: Taking Climate Change Seriously"

No	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
1	EGP Committee	4	replace	<b>We Must Change Everything:</b> Taking Climate Change Seriously	Taking Climate Change Seriously: <b>avoiding change is not an option</b>	
2	EGP Committee	6-9	replace	, <b>and is expected</b> to deliver a global binding agreement to avoid the catastrophic consequences of climate change. The climate crisis is a problem already being faced by billions of people from a wide range of backgrounds, <b>but</b> it will be especially crippling for those who are already most vulnerable.	. <b>Can we expect this Conference</b> to deliver a global binding agreement to avoid the catastrophic consequences of climate change? The climate crisis is a problem already being faced <b>in their daily lives</b> by billions of people from a wide range of backgrounds. <b>If it continues unabated,</b> it will be especially crippling for those who are already most vulnerable.	
3	EGP Committee	12	add	add after "... catastrophes."	Now is the time to overcome the blockades that have prevented effective progress.	

№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
4	EGP Committee	16-19	replace	COP21 needs to deliver a fair, global binding agreement, which effectively reduces greenhouse gas emissions in order to avoid <b>consequences from the climate crisis</b> . This should be based on <b>the principle of equity, sharing the burden and taking into account the differences in historical responsibility and the economic context between the global north and south.</b>	COP21 needs to deliver a fair, global <b>and</b> binding agreement, which <b>allows to</b> effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions in order to avoid <b>exceeding the +2 degrees limit beyond which runaway climate change would increase the risks to human society and to biodiversity to unknown levels.</b> This agreement should be based on the principle of <b>common, but differentiated responsibility, thus honouring the need to combine equity, burden sharing, historical record and respecting development needs. But all countries, from global north and south, must make their own contribution.</b>	
5	Groen	18	replace	burden	effort	

№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
6	EGP Committee	21-23	delete	A scientifically calculated carbon budget should be divided by per capita, with emissions outlined according to the population of a specific country, and where offsetting is excluded. It is not only the global north that needs to reduce its emissions, but the global south should also reduce its carbon intensity.		
7	GGEP	21	delete	A scientifically calculated carbon budget should be divided <b>by per capita</b> ,...	A scientifically calculated carbon budget should be divided, ...	
8	Ecolo	23	replace	... <b>reduce</b> its carbon intensity.	... <b>manage</b> its carbon intensity.	not realistic
9	EGP Committee	25-27	delete	We propose an alternative to the current market mechanisms, where offsetting will be excluded and countries from the global north can buy emission certificates from global south countries, while respecting the limits of a carbon budget.		
10	Groen	25-27	delete	We propose an alternative to the current market mechanisms, where offsetting will be excluded <b>and countries from the global north can buy emission certificates from global south countries</b> , while respecting the limits of a carbon budget.	We propose an alternative to the current market mechanisms, where offsetting will be excluded, while respecting the limits of a carbon budget.	The distinction between north and south is based on a old-fashion image of the world. It is very '20 century'. If we want to get rid of the offsetting system, then why do we add this sentence? It is confusing. And secondly: this passage lacks an alternative.

№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
11	GroenLinks	27	add	add new paragraph	The International Maritime Organization (IMO) and the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) have been given nearly 20 years to cut emissions from their respective sectors, but thus far failed to put adequate measures in place. COP21 therefore has to set binding reduction targets for international aviation and maritime transport.	
12	EGP Committee	36	replace	... , and its budget expanded to an appropriate level, containing at least 120 billion US dollars annually.	<b>. But the overall level of additional climate financing must exceed 100 billion US dollars annually. Greens will put pressure on European Governments to contribute adequately to this need.</b>	
13	EGP Committee	37-38	replace	Projects need to effectively reduce their emissions, and projects such as “clean coal” or fracking need to be abolished.	Projects such as “clean coal” or “fracking” must not be financed through this facility.	

№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
14	EGP Committee	38-41		Mitigation and adaptation need to be equally funded. Furthermore, we believe that Loss & Damage funding should be included, and the division should be 40% for mitigation, 25% for Loss & Damage, and 35% for adaptation. Any private funding, based on a polluter- pays principle, should be made transparent to the public.	European Greens consider it of high priority to push back against fossil energy interest. The so-called “carbon majors” are the most important target for this effort. We all heartedly welcome and support the Global Carbon Divestment Movement. European Greens want to build broad alliances with municipal authorities, education institutions, faith-based organisations and communities, trade unions, financial actors, philanthropies and all kinds of civil society organisations to make carbon divestment a strong European movement.	
15	Ecolo	39-40	replace	..., and the division should be 40% for mitigation, 25% for Loss & Damage, and 35% for adaptation.	..., and the division should be 35% to 45% for mitigation, 20% to 30% for Loss & Damage, and 30% to 40% for adaptation.	
16	EGP Committee	43-45	replace	The World Health Organisation <b>already restricts</b> the “tobacco sponsorship of international events, activities and/or participants therein”. <b>The same principles need to be applied to fossil fuels. We therefore</b> call upon the exclusion of fossil fuel lobbies from the UNFCCC and comparable institutions.	<b>Similarly to the restrictions which</b> the World Health Organisation <b>promotes against</b> the “tobacco sponsorship of international events, activities and/or participants therein”, we call upon the exclusion of fossil fuel lobbies from the UNFCCC and comparable institutions.	

No	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
17	EGP Committee	54-56	replace	A 60% reduction in emissions by 2030, from the 1990 baseline, in order to reach the 100% objective by 2050. The EU should strive to highlight its targets in steps of ten years (40% by 2020, 75% by 2040, etc.)	a reduction of gas emissions by at least 55% from the 1990 levels by 2030 in order to reach a carbon-neutral society by 2050	
18	EGP Committee	58	replace	45% energy efficiency, with concrete and ambitious objectives at a European level.	a reduction of energy consumption by 40% over the next 15 years	
19	Vihreät - De Gröna	58	add	45% energy efficiency	45 % energy efficiency <b>by 2030...</b>	clarification
20	GGEP	58	replace	<b>45%</b> energy efficiency, ...	<b>40%</b> energy efficiency, ...	More realistic target
21	EGP Committee	60	replace	45% renewable energy.	a boost to renewable energy, excluding agrofuels, to provide 45% of our energy consumption by 2030.	
22	Vihreät - De Gröna	60	add	45% renewable energy.	45% renewable energy <b>by 2030.</b>	clarification
23	Groen	62-63	delete	an EU-wide prohibition of fracking that is coupled with further research on fracking		This is too extreme. We would prohibit the drillings but keep the possibility to do research, for instance to be able to tackle the negative effects of fracking on scientific basis.
24	EGP Committee	71-72	delete	We encourage Greens from all over Europe to promote and support an active divestment from fossil fuels and nuclear energy on all levels.		

№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
25	EGP Committee	74-77	replace	We anticipate <b>the fact</b> that COP21 may not deliver all that <b>is</b> needed. Beyond Paris, the discourse should not be <b>in the hands of</b> a few privileged <b>that are able to get into the political institutions. It</b> should be a <b>starting point</b> for a global climate movement, <b>which goes back home and brings the protest onto the streets.</b>	We anticipate that COP21 may not deliver all that <b>would be</b> needed. Beyond Paris, the discourse <b>therefore</b> should not be <b>controlled by</b> a privileged <b>few. Paris</b> should be a <b>rallying call</b> for <b>the</b> global climate movement	
26	SF	79-84	delete	Focusing on the business sector to solve the climate crisis is not the solution. We understand that large parts of our economy are dependent on fossil fuels, and therefore have no interest whatsoever in contributing to a solution for the climate crisis. We would even go further as to say that some companies want to block all efforts to arriving at a solution. We call these actors 'Climate Criminals'. They are actively destructing the environment, and cause harm to the current and future generations. We Greens believe that they cannot be our partners, and we will work hard to expose their activities.		The business sector includes green tech companies investing in developing green solutions that will help us into a future based on renewable energy among others. Alienating the entire business community as a whole is likely to have consequences to how the Greens are perceived and included in moving towards a climate friendly society.
27	EGP Committee	79	replace	Focusing on the business sector to solve the climate crisis is not the solution	Greens are very clear: fighting the climate crisis cannot follow business interest; business interest must follow the climate logic	

<b>№</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Current Text</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
28	EGP Committee	81-82	delete	We would even go further as to say that some companies want to block all efforts to arriving at a solution. We call these actors 'Climate Criminals'.		
29	EGP Committee	85	add		We welcome cooperation with business actors that share this perspective.	
30	EGP Committee	87-92	replace	The people already have the solutions! What is needed is for them to bring their solutions to the political discourse. As Greens, we have the potential to be key partners in this respect, and the discourse should go beyond the framework of the UNFCCC. The action, which is currently happening on an international level, needs to be broken down in national circumstances as well. Even if COP21 fails, it can be a point of hope. Hope for a new movement. The strength of this movement lies in the diversity of its actors and its creativity.	For European Greens standing up against climate change is a common core challenge for the year 2015 and beyond. Let's mobilise as best as we can and let's build the alliances necessary to win this fight.	



№	Party	Line	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation
31	EGP Committee	94- 127	delete	<p>We demand:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The COP21 summit to deliver a fair, global, and legally binding agreement to effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and to addresses the consequences of the climate crisis.</li> <li>- A complete phase out of fossil fuels by 2050.</li> <li>- The European Union to take a leading position in the UNFCCC negotiations.</li> <li>- The ambition of the 2030 package to be raised: A 60% reduction in emissions by 2030, 45% energy efficiency, and 45% renewable energy.</li> <li>- A call for the exclusion of fossil fuel lobbies from the UNFCCC and comparable institutions.</li> <li>- To stay within and divide the climate budget between parties. This must be the starting point of all negotiations.</li> <li>- Enabling policies for community powered renewable energy solutions.</li> <li>- Universal human rights to be a cornerstone of the new agreement.</li> <li>- An alternative to current market mechanisms, one which does not include offsetting.</li> <li>- That the new agreement needs to include 'Loss &amp; Damage' as a specific point, and not as part of an adaptation.</li> <li>- The establishment of a 'Capacity Building Facility'.</li> <li>- EU-wide prohibition of fracking and further research on fracking, halting the construction of new nuclear power plants and the dismantlement of existing plants as well as phasing out of coal energy usage</li> <li>- Fossil fuel subsidies should be cut and either used to finance this energy transition or added to the budget of the Green Climate Fund</li> </ul>		
32	Ecolo	113	add	<p>Universal human rights to be a cornerstone of the new agreement.</p>	<p>Universal human rights <b>and especially women's rights</b> to be a cornerstone of the new agreement.</p>	

<b>№</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Line</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Current Text</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
33	GroenLinks	120	add	add new bullet	Binding reduction targets for international aviation and maritime transport consistent with the objective to stay below 2°C global warming.	
34	GGEP		postpone	Postpone the vote on the resolution		More time for discussion and reach a fully united position.