

Amendments to R06: The Prohibition of Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems

Nr.	№	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Explanation / comment
1	AM-1-10	Die Grünen		New title: The Prohibition of Autonomous Weapon Systems	"LAWS" is the widely used term. However AWS do not need to be lethal in order to be incredibly dangerous and all arguments in this resolution are also valid for AWS. We would consider it a valuable discussion, which term we want to use here.
2	AM-19-1	Die Grünen	The use of lethal autonomous weapon systems or "killer robots" poses serious legal and ethical issues.	From line 18 to 19: The use of lethal autonomous weapon systems or "killer robots" poses serious legal and , ethical issues <u>and humanitarian concerns and constitutes a serious threat to humanity.</u>	
3	AM-22-1	Vihreät - De Gröna	is the key difference with other weapon systems. Who is responsible for the actions of an autonomous weapon system when it leads to violations of humanitarian law or war crimes? When an attack happens without human oversight it makes it difficult to assign responsibility.	From line 21 to 24: is the key difference with other weapon systems. Who is responsible for the actions of an autonomous weapon system when it leads to violations of humanitarian law <u>crimes against humanity</u> or war crimes? When an attack happens without human oversight it makes it difficult to assign responsibility.	Serious violations of interational humanitarian law are war crimes, no need for repetition. On the other hand, these technologies can be utilised by governments against people also outside of armed conflict in which case those could be crimes against humanity.
4	AM-25-1	Bündnis90/Die Grünen	And what if a lethal autonomous weapon system makes a mistake? The inaccuracy of facial recognition technology e.g. is often mentioned. As a report of the	From line 25 to 26: And what if a lethal autonomous weapon system makes a mistake? The <u>Missjudgment of an approaching threat could lead to an increase in target overkill and disproportionate retaliation. In addition, the</u> inaccuracy of facial recognition technology e.g. is often mentioned. As a report of the	
5	AM-28-1	Miljöpartiet de gröna	European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights states: facial recognition technology has higher error rates when used on women and people of colour, producing biased results. ^[4]	From line 27 to 29: European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights states: facial recognition technology has higher error rates when used on women and people of colour <u>BIPOC</u> , producing biased results. ^[4]	

Amendments to R06: The Prohibition of Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems

Nr.	№	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Explanation / comment
6	AM-33-1	Die Grünen	to decide about life and death. How would it be able to correctly interpret the difference between civilians and soldiers, which is a fundamental principle in international law? Empathy, an important element often already lacking in armed	From line 32 to 34: to decide about life and death. How would it be able to correctly interpret the difference between civilians and soldiers <u>combatants</u> , which is a fundamental principle in international law? Empathy, an important element often already lacking in armed	
7	AM-66-1	EGP Committee	Taking into consideration all these factors, we call on:	In line 66: Taking into consideration all these factors, we call <u>the European Green Party calls</u> on:	
8	AM-67-1	EGP Committee	The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing	From line 67 to 68: The EGP <u>European Union as international actor</u> to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing	
9	AM-67-2	Green Party of England and Wales	The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing	Insert from line 67 to 68: The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU <u>and European nation states, including non-EU countries,</u> should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing	Changes text that is EU-centric to text that is more inclusive of all EGP member parties
10	AM-68-1	Greens/EFA Group in the European Parliament	The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing militarisation, we need to make sure that no new military systems are developed that pose great risks to humanity.	From line 67 to 70: The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace, <u>non-proliferation</u> and global disarmament <u>while supporting the right to defend itself according to Article 51 of the UN Charter</u> . In times of growing militarisation <u>rapidly rising defence budgets and investments in military technology</u> , we need to make sure that no new military systems are developed that <u>do not meet basic legal and ethical standards such as meaningful human control and therefore</u> pose great risks to humanity.	

Amendments to R06: The Prohibition of Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems

Nr.	№	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Explanation / comment
11	AM-68-2	Die Grünen	The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament. In times of growing militarisation, we need to make sure that no new military systems are	From line 67 to 69: The EGP to stress in its Manifesto that the EU should primarily always strive towards peace and global disarmament <u>protection of human rights and human security</u> . In times of growing militarisation, we need to make sure that no new military systems are	
12	AM-70-1	Bündnis90/Die Grünen	militarisation, we need to make sure that no new military systems are developed that pose great risks to humanity.	Insert from line 69 to 70: militarisation, we need to make sure that no new military systems are developed that <u>lack meaningful human control and therefore</u> pose great risks to humanity.	
13	AM-72-1	Die Grünen	The international community to start negotiations and work on an international treaty on the prohibition of the development, production and use of lethal autonomous weapon systems that enable strikes to be carried out without meaningful human control.	From line 71 to 74: The international community to start negotiations and work on an international treaty on the prohibition of the development, production and use of lethal autonomous weapon systems that enable strikes to be carried out without meaningful human control, acquisition, transfer, stockpiling and use of lethal autonomous weapon systems. Including the prohibition of engagement in any preparations to use autonomous weapon systems.	More specific and more restrictive. Based on wording from Chemical Weapons Convention
14	AM-75-1	Die Grünen	The European Union to actively work towards this international ban. Since there are currently no hopeful developments within the UN Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, the EU should take the lead in developing a multilateral ban with like minded countries. ^[10]	From line 75 to 78: The European Union to actively work towards this international ban. Since there are currently no hopeful developments within the UN Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, the EU should take the lead in developing <u>and until meaningful developments are achieved, lead by example by negotiating</u> a multilateral ban with like minded countries. ^[10]	
15	AM-75-2	Green Party of England and Wales	The European Union to actively work towards this international ban. Since there are currently no hopeful developments within the UN Convention on	insert from line 75 to 76: The European Union <u>and European nation states, including non-EU countries,</u> to actively work towards this international ban. Since there are currently no hopeful developments within the UN Convention on	Changes text that is EU-centric to text that is more inclusive of all EGP member parties

Amendments to R06: The Prohibition of Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems

Nr.	№	Tabled by	Original text	Proposed amendment	Explanation / comment
16	AM-78-1	Europe Ecologie - Les Verts	Certain Conventional Weapons, the EU should take the lead in developing a multilateral ban with like minded countries. ^[10]	Insert from line 77 to 78: Certain Conventional Weapons, the EU should take the lead in developing a multilateral ban with like minded countries <u>and supports all efforts in other forums that aim to advance the regulation of lethal autonomous weapon systems, such as the Human Rights Council and the United Nations General Assembly.</u> ^[10]	
17	AM-81-1	EGP Committee	The EGP member parties, especially those in government, to adopt laws banning lethal autonomous weapon systems, and take a leading role in the	From line 81 to 82: The EGP <u>its</u> member parties, especially those in government, to adopt laws banning lethal autonomous weapon systems, and take a leading role in the	
18	AM-81-2	Bündnis90/Die Grünen	The EGP member parties, especially those in government, to adopt laws banning lethal autonomous weapon systems, and take a leading role in the international developments for an international ban.	From line 81 to 83: The EGP member parties, especially those in government, to adopt laws banning lethal autonomous weapon systems, and take a leading role in the international developments for an international ban. <u>to take a leading role in the efforts to establish an international ban on lethal autonomous weapon systems that are beyond human control, since their use violated international law and ethical principles.</u>	
19	AM-83-1	Europe Ecologie - Les Verts	international developments for an international ban.	Insert after line 83: <u>The European union and the international community to work on making it compulsory for the intelligence services of the countries concerned to publish all information collected on the development of such weapons</u>	