

Amendments submitted to the draft resolution "The EGP opposes CETA"

№	Party	Lines	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation/Comment
1	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	11	add	"... and weakens EU standards."	"... and threatens to weaken EU standards."	
2	Groen	14-17	replace	This resolution aims to make European Greens' opposition to CETA clear, which are based on the similar reasons of secretive negotiations, an antidemocratic investor-state dispute settlement, the watering down of EU standards and economic gains for corporations and not for people.	This resolution aims to make European Greens' opposition to CETA much stronger, since CETA is based on similar reasons of secretive negotiations, an antidemocratic investor-state dispute settlement, the watering down of EU standards and economic gains for corporations and not for people.	Correction.
3	GGEP	23	add	has been negotiated, and is now awaiting ratification by national parliaments. CETA is one in a number of	has been negotiated, and is now awaiting ratification at EU-level (European Parliament and Council) and by national parliaments. CETA is one in a number of	CETA has to be ratified at EU-level as well. This should also be indicated in the resolution.
4	Groen	23	add	and is now awaiting ratification by national parliaments.	and is now awaiting ratification by the European Parliament and national parliaments.	The ratification process in the European Parliament will play a key role.
5	Groen	23-25	add	CETA is one in a number of new generation trade agreements that concern themselves not only with tariffs, but also with regulations and regulatory powers.	CETA is one in a number of new generation trade agreements that concern themselves not only with tariffs, but also with regulations and regulatory powers, the so-called non-tariff barriers.	
6	Groen	27	replace	populace	population	
7	Groen	33-34	add	Therefore, we demand that no agreement containing ISDS is ratified,	Therefore, we demand that no agreement containing ISDS, such as CETA, is ratified,	

No	Party	Lines	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation/Comment
8	Vihreät - De Gröna	34	add	Our addition comes after the sentence "...ISDS are negotiated."	Non-discriminatory investor protection and redress of grievances must be ensured via national legal and judicial systems, not via private judiciaries.	Investor protection is not the problem, and some protection for investments must be ensured for any FDIs to take place. The problem is creating a private judiciary which would accept complaints only from the companies and which could potentially overrule national legislation. This should be made clear. The Green solution is to deal with the inevitable grievances in existing national legal systems.
9	Groen	37	add	at watering down environmental regulations	at watering down public health, food safety and environmental regulations	
10	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	37	replace	"It is aimed at watering down ..."	"It threatens to water down..."	
11	GGEP	38	add	law, instead prioritising corporate interests. Even though we believe that trade with Canada can	law, instead prioritising corporate interests. Furthermore, it threatens public services. Even though we believe that trade with Canada can	The importance of public services and the threats to them arising from CETA should be mentioned in the resolution.
12	GGEP	38	add		"The provision of a so-called "negative list" for the liberalization of services is unacceptable as it amounts to liberalization by default"	It is the first time that a FTA contains a "negative list" – market access to all services is liberalized and the "national treatment" of foreign service providers guaranteed, if not explicitly listed as exempted; and we should strongly oppose it.
13	Groen	40	add	affecting everyday-life,	affecting everyday-life health and safety,	
14	GGEP	40-41	add	capacity to control regulations affecting everyday-life, or to Canadian and European efforts to addressing the climate crisis.	capacity to control regulations affecting everyday-life, or to Canadian and European efforts to lower carbon emission by reducing unnecessary transportation and to generally address the climate crisis.	

№	Party	Lines	Action	Current Text	Proposed Amendment	Explanation/Comment
15	SF	43	add	Therefore, The European Green Party opposes CETA and believes that the agreement should never come into force.	Therefore, The European Green Party opposes CETA in its current form and believes that the agreement should never come into force.	Since we recognise in line 38 that we believe that trade with Canada can be beneficial to Europe, we should recognise that a negotiated deal taking account of green priorities would be better than no deal. Consequently, we should not oppose any CETA but CETA in its current form and encourage negotiations that seek to improve the agreement.
16	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	44	add	change "... should never come into force."	"... should never come into force in the current form. "	